

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/834,700	BRAUN, ANDREAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

(2) Bruce Grant.

Status of Application: after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2005

Time: Noon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 76, 79, 82-83

Prior art documents discussed:

Brennan which was previously applied in an office action.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to try to provide clarification to her position and to expedite prosecution if possible. The examiner suggested that "of" rather than "as set forth in" would clarify Claim 1 to require that the nucleic acid must encode the polypeptide comprising or of SEQ ID NO: 2 and not fragments thereof. Claims 76 and 79 did not appear to make sense. Finally Claim 82 and 83 were broadly drawn to primers without length limitation or any particular definition of "adjacent." The examiner suggested that the previous 102 rejection under Brennan would be reinstated, as Brennan teaches every possible 10-mer which would inherently hybridize adjacent to position 2073 of SEQ ID NO:1. Applicant indicated he would consider the proposed amendments. .